#### **MISSION STATEMENT**

To protect the public health and conserve resources by treating wastewater, monitoring and maintaining closed landfills, collecting and processing solid waste and diverting recyclables and hazardous materials from the waste stream in a cost effective, compliant manner.

Appropriation	Actual 2003-04	Position Allocations	В	OS Adopted 2004-05	Position Allocations
Special Districts (Internal Services Fund)*	\$ 4,733,495	43	\$	5,492,540	44
Solid Waste Management (Enterprise Fund)*	1,735,382	21		2,656,648	21
Eastern Regional Landfill (Enterprise Fund)*	 1,124,393	0		1,974,355	0
Total:	\$ 7,593,270	64	\$	10,123,543	65

<sup>\*</sup> Amounts include total operating expenses and fixed assets

#### **CORE FUNCTIONS**

#### Special Districts (Internal Service Fund)

To operate and maintain the County Sewer Maintenance Districts (SMD) and County Service Areas (CSA) that provide sewer service, domestic water service, drainage maintenance and a water source for fire protection to various CSAs within Placer County.

### Solid Waste Management (Enterprise Fund)

To manage the diversion of recyclable materials, and the collection and disposal of solid waste generated within Placer County in an environmentally safe manner.

### Eastern Regional Landfill (Enterprise Fund)

To manage and monitor the Eastern Regional Landfill (ERL) to protect the environment and to comply with regulatory requirements, and manage the Eastern Regional Material Recovery Facility (MRF) to divert material away from landfills in compliance with state law.

### ERSLA Closure/Post Closure (Enterprise Fund)

To meet the financial assurance obligations for the closure costs and post closure costs of Title XXVII of the Code of Regulations. These regulations require that a certain amount of funding be maintained in trust to ensure that enough funds are dedicated and available to meet the financial needs of the closed facility. This enterprise fund is used to fund the post closure expenses of the landfill.

### FY 2003-04 Major Accomplishments

- Negotiate a new discharge permit for the SMD No. 1 wastewater treatment plant that will allow the District to protect the public health while continuing efforts to regionalize wastewater treatment.
- Complete a capacity analysis of a portion of the SMD No. 1 collection system to be used in the five-year master plan for the District.
- Complete the design of the Sheridan (CSA No. 6) Infiltration and Inflow Correction Project to qualify for Community Development Block Grant money for completion of the project.

### Thomas Miller, Director

- Completed Phase I of the ERL MRF Expansion Project, including the water system and northern expansion of the MRF.
- Revised and resubmitted the countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan in compliance with state requirements.
- Negotiated a new contract with the garbage collection franchisee for Areas 2, 3 and 4 (Tahoe) that will allow growth in facilities and services for Placer County residents and businesses.
- Negotiated a new contract with the garbage collection franchisee for Areas 1, 5 and 6 (western Placer County) that will provide important new services and rate maintenance provisions for Placer County residents and businesses.
- > Provided curbside greenwaste collection services to many of the residents of western Placer County.
- Maintained compliance with local, state and federal regulations.
- Negotiated new waste discharge requirements for three closed landfills.

### FY 2004-05 Planned Accomplishments

- > Develop a new sewer system master plan for the community of Applegate to comply with requirements to stop surface water discharge and provide cost effective wastewater service.
- Construct the Sheridan Infiltration and Inflow Correction Project.
- Complete approximately \$400,000 of infiltration and inflow correction construction in SMD No. 1, SMD No. 2, and SMD No. 3.
- Hire consultants to begin studies, preliminary designs and environmental work on regionalizing the Auburn, Applegate and Lake of the Pines sewer systems into the Lincoln Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant.
- Install two new water monitoring wells at the Eastern Regional Landfill and two new water monitoring wells at the Loomis Landfill to improve our understanding of each site's geology and hydrology.

### Department Comments

The department continues efforts to provide cost effective wastewater collection and treatment services. During the upcoming year, the Special Districts Division will focus on compliance with increasingly stringent regulatory requirements and accommodating the continuing growth within the various sewer districts. Negotiating a new discharge permit for SMD No. 1, developing a sewer master plan for the community of Applegate to comply with regulatory requirements, and managing development of sewer infrastructure in the western areas of the County will challenge staff and resources.

The Solid Waste Management Division will continue maintaining and monitoring the County's closed landfills, protecting the public health and the environment. The division also oversees garbage collection contracts, and has reduced the franchise fee charged to all collection customers by 2% for FY 2004-05.

The Solid Waste Management Division's staff also manages the Eastern Regional Landfill Enterprise Fund. Challenges facing this unit during the upcoming year include completing construction of a major expansion to the MRF.

### County Executive Comments And Recommendations

Special District Services is an internal service fund that provides services on a fee basis. The recommended budget will cover the cost of requested extra-help and overtime and the addition of one senior civil engineer to handle significant workload increases and to strengthen staff supervision and regional oversight. Recommended fixed assets include architectural costs and replacement of remote monitoring system for a new shop (\$50,000) and \$314,000 for vehicles and equipment scheduled for replacement. Revenues (\$5,492,540) will reimburse the operating expenses and equipment needs (\$5,492,540).

#### Thomas Miller, Director

To meet an increasing demand for regulatory review and facility planning, *Solid Waste Management* recommends the allocation of two new waste disposal site attendants. Recommended expenditures will cover the cost of staffing for current and requested new positions, the County Litter Program, professional services for Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) activities, and County Counsel costs.

Also recommended is \$13,000 for replacement of an outdated remote monitoring system and special department expenses for monitoring, sampling, maintenance, and other activities to meet regulatory requirements. The costs of this budget are offset by interest earnings (\$190,000); landfill fees, which have declined in FY 2004-05 due to Board-approved reductions in franchise fees charged to garbage collection customers; and miscellaneous revenues (\$1,629,000) derived from reimbursements form Placer Waste Management Authority, Eastern Regional Landfill, and Special Districts budgets.

Eastern Regional Landfill operating expenditures have increased primarily due to required permitting updates, management of the domestic water supply for the site, and a restructuring and consolidation of complex contracts for operations, collections, and onsite facilities. Also recommended are significant increases in the cost of general liability insurance and \$150,000 for anticipated one-time regulatory requirements for additional monitoring wells and improvements. Tipping fees (\$1,688,000) and interest earnings (\$48,000) support this budget. The net remaining funding available is recommended for addition to reserves for fixed asset acquisition.

### Final Budget Changes from the Proposed Budget

Special District Services Internal Service Fund is using fund balance carryover of \$101,815 to increase professional services \$37,000 and increase the reserve by \$64,815 for new facilities.

Solid Waste Management Enterprise Fund is using a total of \$92,882 from fund balance carryover to fully fund 2 new positions recommended in the Proposed Budget plus the reclassification of a senior civil engineer. The remaining fund balance available (\$763,019) will be added to reserves, Designation for Contingencies.

Eastern Regional Landfill Enterprise Fund Final Budget adjustments include an increase in General Liability Insurance costs to cover a claim payout offset by an adjustment to interest expense (\$100,511 net). The remaining fund balance carryover of \$1,001,080 will be reserved for future facility acquisition.

#### ERSLA Closure/Post Closure Enterprise Fund

Excess carryover fund balance will be used to offset the cancellation of reserves in the Proposed Budget for a total reserve cancellation of \$40,990.

### PROPRIETARY FUNDS

# SPECIAL DISTRICTS INTERNAL SERVICE FUND FUND 260800 / APPROPRIATION 06280

	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	F	Requested 2004-05	Recommended 2004-05	Change %	Adopted 2004-05
Operating Expenses							
Salaries and Employee Benefits	\$ 2,958,235	\$ 3,040,360	\$	3,550,169	\$ 3,550,169	17%	\$ 3,550,169
Services and Supplies	1,664,510	1,355,373		1,325,545	1,288,545	-5%	1,325,545
Other Charges	111,256	119,393		116,326	116,326	-3%	116,326
Other Financing Uses	23,943	-		21,000	21,000	100%	21,000
Appropriations for Contingencies	-	-		75,000	75,000	100%	75,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 4,757,944	\$ 4,515,126	\$	5,088,040	\$ 5,051,040	12%	\$ 5,088,040
Revenue							
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$ 19,380	\$ 12,193	\$	20,000	\$ 20,000	64%	\$ 20,000
Charges for Services	4,857,292	4,548,925		5,472,540	5,472,540	20%	5,472,540
Miscellaneous Revenue	40,906	69,776		-	-	-100%	-
Other Financing Sources	-	5,179		-	-	-100%	-
Total Revenue:	4,917,578	4,636,073		5,492,540	5,492,540	18%	5,492,540
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 159,634	\$ 120,947	\$	404,500	\$ 441,500	265%	\$ 404,500
Fixed Assets	\$ 7,842	\$ 218,369	\$	404,500	\$ 404,500	85%	\$ 404,500
Allocated Positions	43	43		44	43	0%	44

CORE FUNCTION: SPECIAL DISTRICTS

### Special District Engineering Program

**Program Purpose:** To design sewer line and treatment plant rehabilitation and expansion projects through inhouse and contracts, and to provide management of all construction projects and review new development plans in order to provide quality sewer infrastructure to unincorporated areas of Placer County.

**Total Expenditures:** \$ 1,497,965 **Total Staffing:** 12.0

 Key Intended Outcome: Quality sewer infrastructure is available to areas of unincorporated Placer County.

Special District Services Indicators:	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Target 2004-05
\$ of rehabilitation projects per year	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
# of sewer connection inspections made within 48 hours/ # completed per technician	N/A	1,407 / 351	1,600 / 400
# of development plans processed within specified timelines/ # processed per engineer	N/A	338 / 113	300 / 100
# Underground Service Alert markings completed/# marked per technician	N/A	1,266 / 316	1,200 / 300

**Program Comments:** The engineers and engineering technicians in Special Districts have four main tasks: design and construct sewer rehabilitation projects, plan check new sewer plans of developers, inspect the sewer connection of new homes, and to mark the pavement and ground where existing sewers exist when Underground

### Thomas Miller, Director

Service Alert is called by contractors who are digging near our sewers. The indicators above show the level of work required by that staff.

### Wastewater Treatment Plant Operations

**Program Purpose:** To operate and maintain wastewater treatment plants for county SMD and CSA to ensure compliance with regulations that protect the public health, the environment and water quality.

Total Expenditures: \$1,497,965 Total Staffing: 12.0

 Key Intended Outcome: The public health, environment and water quality in the State of California are protected.

Wastewater Treatment Plant Operations Indicators:	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Target 2004-05
# of million gallons (MG) of water treated	795 MG	782 MG	820 MG
\$ per million gallons of water treated	\$1,775 / MG	\$1,910 / MG	\$1,775 / MG
# of water quality permit violations per year	2	8	6
\$ paid in fines due to water quality permit violations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

**Program Comments:** The wastewater treatment plant operations staff operates and maintains two mechanical treatment plants, two sewer pond systems, the Sheridan Domestic Water System and the Blue Canyon Community Sewer System. They operate those systems under permits issued by the State of California and Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). When the plants are not operating correctly, violations occur and possible fines are paid. The indicators above show the level of work required and the problems incurred.

#### Collection System Maintenance Program

**Program Purpose:** To clean, repair and upgrade sewer pipes and sewage pump stations for county SMD and CSA in order to ensure sewer infrastructure is maintained to maximize its life span, prevent sewage system overflows and protect the public health.

**Total Expenditures:** \$ 2,496,609 **Total Staffing:** 20.0

• **Key Intended Outcome:** Lifespan of infrastructure is maximized, sewage system overflows are prevented and the public health is protected.

Collection System Maintenance Indicators:	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Target 2004-05
# of sewage overflows per year	5	8	5
# of preventable sewage overflows per year	4	2	4

# of miles of sewer pipeline managed/ # of miles of sewer pipe maintained per staff per EPA standards	232 / 26	264 / 33	270 / 25
\$ per mile to maintain collection system	\$11,900 / mile	\$8,300 / mile	\$9,200 / mile

### Thomas Miller, Director

**Program Comments:** Special Districts Division maintains 260 miles of sewer pipe in nine sewer districts around the County. They also maintain 36 sewage liftstations in those districts. Sewers need to be cleaned and pump stations maintained or sewage overflows occur. The indicators above reflect the level of maintenance put into the sewer system and the number of overflows that occur. The Federal EPA standard for collection system maintenance is approximately 20 miles of sewer pipe per sewer worker.

# SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT ENTERPRISE FUND FUND 220450 / APPROPRIATION 04500

	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	F	Requested 2004-05	F	Recommended 2004-05	Change %	Adopted 2004-05
Operating Expenses								
Salaries and Employee Benefits	\$ 1,123,544	\$ 1,191,291	\$	1,687,118	\$	1,594,236	34%\$	1,687,118
Services and Supplies	534,287	543,769		817,030		817,030	50%	817,030
Other Charges	322	322		14,500		14,500	4403%	14,500
Appropriations for Contingencies	 -	-		125,000		125,000	100%	125,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 1,658,153	\$ 1,735,382	\$	2,643,648	\$	2,550,766	47% \$	2,643,648
Revenue								
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$ 229,039	\$ 184,006	\$	190,000	\$	190,000	3% \$	190,000
Intergovernmental Revenue	28,832	28,335		-		-	-100%	-
Charges for Services	1,879,380	1,981,565		1,654,000		1,654,000	-17%	1,654,000
Miscellaneous Revenue	 1,037,365	1,168,439		1,501,011		1,408,129	21%	1,408,129
Total Revenue:	3,174,616	3,362,345		3,345,011		3,252,129	-3%	3,252,129
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 1,516,463	\$ 1,626,963	\$	701,363	\$	701,363	-57% \$	608,481
Fixed Assets	\$ 62,185	\$ -	\$	13,000	\$	13,000	100% \$	13,000
Allocated Positions	18	21		21		21	0%	21

Note: This budget also provides for labor to support the Eastern Regional Landfill's operations (\$174,599/2.0 positions) and the Western Placer Waste Management Authority (\$1,151,915/15 positions).

CORE FUNCTION: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

### Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance Program

**Program Purpose:** To monitor and maintain three closed landfills located in Meadow Vista, Foresthill and Loomis to protect the public by mitigating possible environmental impacts caused by past burial of solid waste.

**Total Expenditures:** \$798,080 **Total Staffing:** 2.0

Key Intended Outcome: Environmental impacts are mitigated.

### Thomas Miller, Director

Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance Indicators:	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Target 2004-05
# of violations of state minimum standards noted by the Enforcement Agent or Regional Water Quality Control Board	0	0	0
# of landfill gas samples exceeding 5% at property line	0	0	0
# of water samples taken per quarter (average)	N/A	38	38

**Program Comments:** All three landfills remain in compliance with Corrective Action Plans required by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Landfill gas recovery systems are operating effectively to control gas migration. Water samples taken as required by Waste Discharge Requirements.

### Garbage Collection Program

**Program Purpose:** To provide efficient garbage collection services to resident and business owners in order to cost effectively manage solid waste generated throughout unincorporated areas in Placer County.

Total Expenditures: \$399,040 Total Staffing: 1.0

Key Intended Outcome: Solid waste is managed efficiently and effectively.

Garbage Collection Indicators:	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Target 2004-05
# of customer complaints received	3	4	5
% of customer complaints responded to within 24 hours	80%	75%	100%
Ration of % of increase in customer cost for garbage collection (Auburn Placer Disposal Service (APDS) toter customer) to % of increase in Consumer Price Index (CPI)	0%	.06%	0.9%
Ratio of increase in customer cost for garbage collection (Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal (TTSD) 32-gal can customer) to increase in CPI	1.25	1.1	1.5
# of customers served by APDS	25,349	24,971	25,000
# of customers served by TTSD	N/A	9,304	9,200

**Program Comments:** The number of complaints received remains small compared to the number of customers served. Both garbage services are doing a good job of handling problems that occur on a routine basis. Collection costs for Auburn Placer Disposal Service were not increased last year, and may actually decrease in FY 2004-05 due to a 2% reduction in franchise fees approved by the Board of Supervisors last year. Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal rates will continue to increase faster than the CPI due to tipping fee increases at the Eastern Regional Landfill.

### Recycling & Household Hazardous Waste Program

**Program Purpose:** To provide recycling and hazardous waste services to citizens throughout the unincorporated areas of Placer County in order to conserve resources, reduce the quantity of wastes buried in landfills, and provide safe disposal of hazardous wastes while meeting state mandated waste diversion goals.

Total Expenditures: \$133,013 Total Staffing: 1.0

• **Key Intended Outcome:** Resources are conserved, the quantity of waste buried in landfills is reduced, and hazardous wastes are disposed of safely.

Recycling & Household Hazardous Waste	Actual	Actual	Target
Programs Indicators:	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
% diversion per requirement imposed by AB 939 (50% diversion mandate/data lags by two years)	52%	58%	55%
# of unincorporated Placer County customers using free Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) services	1,250	1,592	1,500
% satisfaction of HHW event customers	N/A	N/A	80%

**Program Comments:** Diversion programs have been very successful. Unincorporated Placer County is the only large local agency in the County that is exceeding the 50% diversion mandate. A very successful one-day HHW event was held in October of 2003. We intend to offer this program again in 2004.

### EASTERN REGIONAL LANDFILL ENTERPRISE FUND FUND 220400 / APPROPRIATION 02890

	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	F	Requested 2004-05	F	Recommended 2004-05	Change %	Adopted 2004-05
Operating Expenses								
Services and Supplies	\$ 401,408	\$ 520,309	\$	1,032,239	\$	1,032,239	98% \$	1,292,750
Other Charges	119,508	131,853		551,605		551,605	318%	391,605
Other Financing Uses	-	472,231		-		-	-100%	-
Appropriations for Contingencies	-	-		140,000		140,000	100%	140,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 520,916	\$ 1,124,393	\$	1,723,844	\$	1,723,844	53% \$	1,824,355
Licenses, Permits and Franchises	\$ -	\$ 3,850	\$	-	\$	-	-100% \$	_
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	166,602	167,803		117,720		117,720	-30%	117,720
Other Financing Sources	78,526	-		80,000		80,000	100%	80,000
Total Revenue:	1,681,134	1,878,512		1,895,310		1,895,310	1%	1,895,310
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 1,160,218	\$ 754,119	\$	171,466	\$	171,466	-77% \$	(70,955)
Fixed Assets	\$ 44,500	\$ -	\$	150,000	\$	150,000	100% \$	150,000
Allocated Positions	-	-		-		-	0%	-

CORE FUNCTION: EASTERN REGIONAL LANDFILL

### Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance Program

**Program Purpose:** To protect the public from possible environmental impacts caused by past burial of solid waste in the Eastern Regional Landfill.

**Total Expenditures:** \$749,538 **Total Staffing:** 0

 Key Intended Outcome: Groundwater is protected, recyclables are reused and landfill space is saved.

Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance Indicators:	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	Target 2004-05
# of violations of state minimum standards noted by the Local Enforcement Agent or Regional Water Quality Control Boards	0	0	0
# of water samples taken per quarter (average)	20	17	14
# of landfill gas samples exceeding 5% at the property line	0	0	0

**Program Comments:** The landfill remains in compliance with a myriad of local, state and federal regulations. Staff successfully negotiated reductions in the amount of sampling events required under new Waste Discharge Requirements. Construction of two new groundwater-monitoring wells may be required next year. The landfill gas control system has been operating with very few shutdowns and has effectively controlled landfill gas.

### Material Recovery Facility Operations Program

**Program Purpose:** To recover recyclable materials from the wastes generated in Placer County, Nevada County, Truckee, Colfax and El Dorado County, and transfer unwanted materials to the Lockwood Landfill in Nevada.

Total Expenditures: \$1,124,306 Total Staffing: 0

 Key Intended Outcome: Recyclable materials recovered from waste stream, resources preserved and landfill space is saved.

Material Recovery Facility Operations	Actual	Actual	Target
Indicators:	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
% of materials diverted at the ERL MRF	32%	34.7%	33%
# of tons processed per quarter through ERL Operations (average)	18,561	19,052	19,800
Ratio of % increase in cost per ton to process and dispose of waste to % increase in CPI	1.94	1.47%	2
# of violations of state minimum standards noted by the Local Enforcement Agent or Regional Water Quality Control Boards	1	0	0

**Program Comments:** A major facility expansion was initiated this year and will continue into next year. This will allow our contractor to process more materials and recover a higher percentage of those processed. Costs are

### Thomas Miller, Director

projected to rise faster than the CPI because we need to contribute funds towards the purchase of existing and planned buildings from our contractor.

# ERSLA CLOSURE/POST CLOSURE ENTERPRISE FUND FUND 220404 / APPROPRIATION 02840

	Actual 2002-03	Actual 2003-04	equested 2004-05	F	Recommended 2004-05	Change %	Adopted 2004-05
Operating Expenses							
Other Financing Uses	\$ 78,526	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$	180,000	100% \$	180,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 78,526	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$	180,000	100% \$	180,000
Revenue							
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$ 103,114	\$ 69,010	\$ 70,000	\$	70,000	1% \$	70,000
Total Revenue:	103,114	69,010	70,000		70,000	1%	70,000
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 24,588	\$ 69,010	\$ (110,000)	\$	(110,000)	-259% \$	110,000
Fixed Assets	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0% \$	-
Allocated Positions	-	-	-		-	0%	-

**Program Purpose:** The ERSLA Closure/Post Closure appropriation meets the financial assurance obligations for the closure costs and post closure costs of Title XXVII of the Code of Regulations. These regulations require that a certain amount of funding be maintained in trust to ensure that enough funds are dedicated and available to meet the financial needs of the closed facility.

For several years, the County has been contributing funds to the trust fund per the regulations. This appropriation is used to fund the post closure expenses of the landfill. The Integrated Waste Management Board has approved the transfer of trust funds to this appropriation to fund operations. The trust continues to demonstrate the ability to fund expenses for the mandatory 30-year maintenance period.

#### State Controller County Budget Act (1985)

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Internal Service Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2004-05

Fund: 260 District Services Fund
Subfund: 800 Special District Services

Si	ubfund:	800	Special District Services					Approved
Budg	et Unit:	6280	Special District Services					Adopted by
Opera	ating De (1)	tail		Actual 2002-03 (2)	Actual 2003-04 (3)	Department Request 2004-05 (4)	Recommended by C.E.O. 2004-05 (5)	the Board of Supervisors 2004-05 (6)
Operatir	ng Incom	e						
•	-		x Collection Fee	2,404	2,299	2,000	2,000	2,000
8175		ion Serv		4,673,668	4,328,200	5,245,540	5,245,540	5,245,540
8212	Other C	General I	Reimbursement	384	17,990			
8243				180,836	200,436	225,000	225,000	225,000
	Total O	perating	Income	4,857,292	4,548,925	5,472,540	5,472,540	5,472,540
Operatir	ng Expen							
1001			Sick Leave	3,104	0.407.740		0.000.404	
1002		s and W	ages	2,070,757	2,187,742	2,320,484	2,320,484	2,320,484
1003 1004	Extra H		ated Leave	49,266 93,060	14,345 (127,840)	43,360	43,360	43,360
1004		ne & Cal		79,046	(127,640) 88,723	62,214	62,214	62,214
1300	P.E.R.S		Duck	135,721	216,002	454,815	454,815	454,815
1301	F.I.C.A			166,677	173,425	188,483	188,483	188,483
1310	Employ	yee Grou	ıp Ins	226,837	294,620	387,877	387,877	387,877
1315	Worker	rs Comp	Insurance	133,767	193,343	92,936	92,936	92,936
2017	Uniforn			2,853	1,081			
2050			s - Radio	47,032	101,802	120,000	120,000	120,000
2051			s - Telephone	43,800	44,351	47,000	47,000	47,000
2085 2086		hold Exp Disposa		1,180	454 19			
2140		ability Ins		38,057	55,889	30,586	30,586	30,586
2273	Parts	a.c		64,612	38,138	30,000	30,000	30,000
2290			Equipment	64,909	108,610	95,000	95,000	95,000
2310			efits Systems	39,203	59,713	90,801	90,801	90,801
2404		nance Se		21	40.047			
2405			s & Impr	29,912	12,016	20,000	20,000	20,000
2406 2422			Janitorial & Lab Supp	210 31,135	24,097	30,000	30,000	30,000
2422		ıı, Dentai ership/Du		4,657	7,213	8,000	8,000	8,000
2456	Misc Ex		103	329	7,213	0,000	0,000	0,000
2481		quisition		9,544	16,259	1,700	1,700	1,700
2511	Printing			8,401	8,738	10,000	10,000	10,000
2512		y/Dry Cl		7,493	8,830	8,500	8,500	8,500
2521		ing Supp	olies	24	100			
2522		Supplies	9 Evo	26 12.094	12 002	10.000	10.000	10.000
2523 2524	Postag	Supplies	& Exp	12,084 6,554	13,883 2,655	10,000 5,000	10,000 5,000	10,000 5,000
2550		stration		125,664	122,639	159,822	159,822	159,822
2555			- Purchased	142,370	21,620	37,000		37,000
2556			- County	150,585	125,667	180,000	180,000	180,000
2701			_egal Notices		904	700	700	700
2709			s - Computer S	16,876	16,457	16,175	16,175	16,175
2710			s - Equipment	22,911	3,579	7,000	7,000	7,000
2744 2770		i oois & ii & Lubrica	nstruments	25,904 29,882	19,535 35,491	5,000 35,000	5,000 35,000	5,000 35,000
2809		and Leas		22,468	4,522	33,000	33,000	33,000
2838			kpense-1099 Rep	1,158	17,302			
2840		Dept Ex		273,165	149,905	145,278	145,278	145,278
2844	Trainin	g .		10,753	5,975	10,000	10,000	10,000
2931		& Transp	portation	1,653	5,636	5,000	5,000	5,000
2932	Mileage		A Attaca es	207	382	405.000	405.000	405.000
2941	,	Vehicle	Mileage	116,409	135,530	135,000	135,000	135,000
2965 3551	Utilities		87 Costs	10,493 302,000	10,593 175,788	10,000 72,983	10,000 72,983	10,000 72,983
3701			reciation	110,930	119,067	72,983 116,000	72,983 116,000	72,983 116,000
3701			preciation	326	326	326	326	326
3776			orking Capital	23,943		21,000	21,000	21,000
5600			or Contingencies			75,000	75,000	75,000

State Controller County Budget Act (1985)

## County of Placer State of California Operations of Internal Service Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2004-05

County Budget Form Schedule 10

Budg	Fund: 260 ubfund: 800 et Unit: 6280 ating Detail (1)	District Services Fund Special District Services Special District Services	Actual 2002-03 (2)	Actual 2003-04 (3)	Department Request 2004-05 (4)	Recommended by C.E.O. 2004-05 (5)	Approved Adopted by the Board of Supervisors 2004-05 (6)
Non One	Total Operating In	come (Loss)	4,757,944 99,348	4,515,126 33,799	5,088,040 384,500	5,051,040 421,500	5,088,040 384,500
6950 8764 8796 8954	erating Revenue (E Interest Miscellaneous F Sewer Facility F Operating Trans	Revenues ee	19,380 40,906	12,193 6,620 63,156 5,179	20,000	20,000	20,000
	Total Non-Opera Net Income (Los	ating Revenue (Expense) s)	60,286 159,634	87,148 120,947	20,000 404,500	20,000 441,500	20,000 404,500
Fixed As 4151 4451	Buildings & Impl Equipment		7,842	218,369	90,000 314,500	90,000 314,500	90,000 314,500
4452	Capitalized Equ Total Fixed Asse	•	7,842	(218,368) <b>1</b>	404,500	404,500	404,500

# County Budget Form Schedule 11

Approved

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2004-05

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

Subfund:	450	Solid Waste Management
Budget Unit:	4500	Solid Waste Management

Budg	et Unit: 4500 Solid Waste Managen	nent		Department	Recommended	Adopted by the Board
Opera	ating Detail (1)	Actual 2002-03 (2)	Actual 2003-04 (3)	Request 2004-05 (4)	by C.E.O. 2004-05 (5)	of Supervisors 2004-05 (6)
Operatir	ng Income					
	Direct Charges	33,725	36,823	25,000	25,000	25,000
8174	Landfill Fees	1,845,650	1,944,742	1,629,000	1,629,000	1,629,000
8212	Other General Reimbursement Total Operating Income	5 <b>1,879,380</b>	1,981,565	1,654,000	1,654,000	1,654,000
Operatir	ng Expenses					
1001	Employee Paid Sick Leave	4,210	172			
1002	Salaries and Wages	842,737	925,369	1,163,916	1,092,311	1,163,916
1003	Extra Help	27.000	3,218			
1004 1005	Accr Compensated Leave Overtime & Call Back	37,800 15,692	(52,350) 19,289	20,000	20,000	20,000
1300	P.E.R.S.	57,002	93,780	228,127	214,093	228,127
1301	F.I.C.A.	63,911	71,042	90,570	85,092	90,570
1310	Employee Group Ins	90,158	119,619	155,327	155,327	155,327
1315	Workers Comp Insurance	12,034	11,152	29,178	27,413	29,178
2017	Uniforms	142				
2050	Communications - Radio	8,949	77	20,000	20,000	20,000
2051	Communications - Telephone	8,730	7,598	24,000	24,000	24,000
2086	Refuse Disposal	8,061	E 400	E 720	E 720	E 720
2140 2273	Gen Liability Ins Parts	4,292 170	5,689 1,945	5,730	5,730	5,730
2273	Maintenance - Equipment	80	1,745	4,000	4,000	4,000
2310	Employee Benefits Systems	23,992	28,258	42,707	42,707	42,707
2405	Materials - Bldgs & Impr	2,801	16,178	17,600	17,600	17,600
2439	Membership/Dues	,,,,	132	800	800	800
2481	PC Acquisition		1,463			
2508	Collection Charges	336	350			
2511	Printing	2,330	3,121	3,000	3,000	3,000
2522	Other Supplies	2.407	74	4.100	4.100	4.100
2523	Office Supplies & Exp	3,486	3,523	4,100	4,100	4,100
2524 2550	Postage Administration	3,392 57,535	3,146 56,139	4,000 91,327	4,000 91,327	4,000 91,327
2555	Prof/Spec Svcs - Purchased	181,517	160,867	247,000	247,000	247,000
2556	Prof/Spec Svcs - County	36,153	42,252	85,000	85,000	85,000
2559	County Litter Program	13,762	12,510	20,000	20,000	20,000
2701	Publications & Legal Notices	804	737	600	600	600
2709	Rents & Leases - Computer S	6,646	7,062	7,283	7,283	7,283
2710	Rents & Leases - Equipment		15,923	3,000	3,000	3,000
2727	Rents & Leases - Bldgs & Impr	16,773	17,055	19,000	19,000	19,000
2744	Small Tools & Instruments	62 9	812	2,300	2,300	2,300
2770 2809	Fuels & Lubricants Rents and Leases-PC	7,362	44 1,713	3,000	3,000	3,000
2840	Special Dept Expense	69,130	46,245	65,255	65,255	65,255
2844	Training	164	100	2,500	2,500	2,500
2931	Travel & Transportation	210	1,001	1,300	1,300	1,300
2932	Mileage	1,779	1,362	1,000	1,000	1,000
2941	County Vehicle Mileage	13,005	17,052	19,000	19,000	19,000
2965	Utilities	5,870	3,352	8,000	8,000	8,000
2970	Water & Sewage - Special Dist	56,745	71,890	55,000	55,000	55,000
3551	Transfer Out A-87 Costs		16,099	60,528	60,528	60,528
3701 3702	Equipment Depreciation	322	322	6,700 7,800	6,700 7,800	6,700 7,800
5600	Bldg & Impr Depreciation Appropriation for Contingencies	322	322	125,000	125,000	125,000
	Total Operating Expenses	1,658,153	1,735,382	2,643,648	2,550,766	2,643,648
	Net Operating Income (Loss)	221,227	246,183	(989,648)	(896,766)	(989,648)
Non-Ope	erating Revenue (Expense)				•	•
6950		229,039	184,006	190,000	190,000	190,000
7199	State Aid for Construction	28,832	28,335			
8764	Miscellaneous Revenues	1,037,365	1,168,439	1,501,011	1,408,129	1,408,129

State Controller County Budget Act (1985)

4451 Equipment

**Total Fixed Assets** 

### County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2004-05

County Budget Form Schedule 11

13,000

13,000

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

Subfund: 450 Solid Waste Management

Approved Adopted by the Board Budget Unit: 4500 Solid Waste Management Department Request 2004-05 Recommended by C.E.O. 2004-05 of Supervisors 2004-05 Actual 2003-04 Actual 2002-03 (2) Operating Detail (3) (4) (5) (6) Total Non-Operating Revenue ( 1,295,236 1,380,780 1,691,011 1,598,129 1,598,129 701,363 701,363 Net Income (Loss) 1,516,463 1,626,963 608,481 **Fixed Assets** 4151 Buildings & Improvements 62,185

62,185

0

13,000

13,000

13,000

13,000

## County Budget Form Schedule 11

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2004-05

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

S	ubfund: 400	Eastern Regional Landfill					Approved
Budg	et Unit: 2890	Eastern Region Landfill					Adopted by
					Department	Recommended	the Board
Opera	ating Detail		Actual	Actual 2003-04	Request 2004-05	by C.E.O. 2004-05	of Supervisors 2004-05
Орого	(1)		2002-03 (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			(2)				
Operatir	ng Income						
	Landfill Fees		1,415,724	1,655,339	1,687,790	1,687,790	1,687,790
	Other General R	eimbursement	20,282	13,403	9,800	9,800	9,800
	Total Operating I	ncome	1,436,006	1,668,742	1,697,590	1,697,590	1,697,590
Operatir	ng Expenses						
2050	Communications		3,061	6,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
2051	Communications	s - Telephone	2,939	2,828	3,000	3,000	3,000
2130	Insurance		1 550	32,845	40,000	40,000	40,000
2140 2290	Gen Liability Ins Maintenance - E	quinment	1,552	1,647	102,748 6,000	102,748 6,000	363,259 6,000
2405	Materials - Bldgs			16	50,000	50,000	50,000
2511	Printing	. ap.	791	725	1,250	1,250	1,250
2523	Office Supplies 8	& Exp	302		250	250	250
2524	Postage	·	224	35	250	250	250
2550	Administration		5,668	3,648	7,991	7,991	7,991
2555	Prof/Spec Svcs		193,891	380,843	551,533	551,533	551,533
2556	Prof/Spec Svcs		170,882	112,064	211,599	211,599	211,599
2701 2709	Publications & L Rents & Leases		3,071	82 2,282	1,700 2,722	1,700 2,722	1,700 2,722
2840	Special Dept Ex		23,111	16,297	18,400	18,400	18,400
2854	Landfill Closure		(46,612)	(74,004)	10,100	10,100	10,100
2931	Travel & Transp		27	93	1,000	1,000	1,000
2941	County Vehicle I	Mileage	28		3,500	3,500	3,500
2965	Utilities		6,088	6,117	12,000	12,000	12,000
2970	Water & Sewage	•	5,330	7,410	5,000	5,000	5,000
3551	Transfer Out A-8		31,055	21,381	5,296	5,296	5,296
3810 3830	Lease Purchase Lease Purchase				270,000 121,605	270,000 121,605	270,000 121,605
3851	Interest	merest			160,000	160,000	121,003
5600	Appropriation for	Contingencies			140,000	140,000	140,000
	Total Operating E	Expenses	401,408	520,309	1,723,844	1,723,844	1,824,355
	Net Operating Inc	•	1,034,598	1,148,433	(26,254)	(26,254)	(126,765)
Non On	erating Revenue (E	(vnonco)	, ,	,,	( 1, 1 7	( 1, 1, 1,	( , , , ,
		•		(472.221)			
3778 3803	Operating Trans Long-Term Debt			(472,231) 260,000			
3810	U			(260,000)			
3830			(131,459)	(132,785)			
3838	Interest on Othe	r L/T Debt	11,951	932			
6771		& Permits		3,850			
6950			154,651	133,153	70,000	70,000	70,000
6965				34,650	47,720	47,720	47,720
8764 8780				38,117	80,000	80,000	80,000
8954			78,526		00,000	00,000	00,000
0,01	Total Non-Opera		113,669	(394,314)	197,720	197,720	197,720
	Net Income (Loss		1,148,267				
Character 6	•	2)	1,140,207	754,119	171,466	171,466	70,955
Fixed As 4151		ovements	44,500		150,000	150,000	150,000
IUI				•			
	Total Fixed Asse	215	44,500	0	150,000	150,000	150,000

State Controller County Budget Act (1985)

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2004-05

County Budget Form Schedule 11

70,000

(110,000)

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

St	ubfund: 404	ERSLA Closure/Post Closure	9				Approved
Budge	et Unit: 2840	ERSLA Closure/Post Closure		Actual	Department Request	Recommended by C.E.O.	Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
Operating Detail (1)			Actual 2002-03 (2)	2003-04 (3)	2004-05	2004-05 (5)	2004-05 (6)
Operatin	g Income						
	<b>Total Operating</b>	Income					
Operatin	g Expenses						
3775	Operating Trans	sfer Out	78,526		180,000	180,000	180,000
	Total Operating	Expenses	78,526		180,000	180,000	180,000
	Net Operating Ir	ncome (Loss)	(78,526)		(180,000)	(180,000)	(180,000)
Non-Ope	erating Revenue (	Expense)					
6950	Interest		103,114	69,010	70,000	70,000	70,000

69,010

69,010

70,000

(110,000)

70,000

(110,000)

103,114

24,588

Fixed Assets

Total Fixed Assets

Net Income (Loss)

Total Non-Operating Revenue (